

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/318,159	05/25/1999	HOWARD E. RHODES	M4065.0335/P335-A	9990
7	590 05/20/2003			
THOMAS J. D'AMICO, ESQ. DICKSTEIN, SHAPIRO, MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L STREET. N.W.			EXAMINER	
			MUNSON, GENE M	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2811		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

318,159



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

•		<u> </u>	
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the *EXAMINER* in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]

b) [
	In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
have bee 37 CFR 1 (b) above	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for repty originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in if checked. Any repty received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any stent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
.1.□	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3.□	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);
(b)	☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (see NOTE below);
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:
4.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.□ 6.⊠	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) □ affidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see final rejection, fig. 4, with repart claims 35,56,73. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
5.□ 6.⊠	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \[\textstyle request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \(\frac{3}{200} \) \(\frac{3}{200} \
5.□ 6.⊠ 7.□	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \[\textstyle request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \(\frac{3}{200} \) \(\frac{3}{200} \
5.□ 6.⊠ 7.□	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
5.□ 6.⊠ 7.□	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
5.□ 6.⊠ 7.□	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) _ affidavit, b) _ exhibit, or c) _ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
5.□ 6.⊠ 7.□	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)
5.□ 6.⊠ 7.□ 8.⊠	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) _ affidavit, b) _ exhibit, or c) _ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Same M. Musson
GENE M. MUNSON

FORM PTOL-303 (Rev. 11/00)

Commence of the second

EXAMINER
GROUP ART UNIT 2000